





Ahmed 3-39-39-3-13

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## **Patent Application**

Applicant(s): Walid Ahmed et al.

Case: Serial No.: 3-39-39-3-13

Filing Date:

09/191,132 November 13, 1998

Group:

2661

Examiner:

Shick C. Hom

Title:

Addressing Scheme for a Multimedia

Mobile Network

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria. VA 22313-1450.

Signature Lune h. Hanli

Date: June 11, 2003

RECEIVED

JUN 1 7 2003

Technology Center 2600

### TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith are the following documents relating to the above-identified patent application:

- (1) Response to Final Office Action;
- (2) Notice of Appeal; and
- (3) Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a).

In the event of any non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge or to credit Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0762 as required to correct the error.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 11, 2003

William E. Lewis

Reg. No. 39,274

Attorney for Applicant(s) Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP

90 Forest Avenue

Locust Valley, NY 11560

(516) 759-2946





Ahmed 3-39-39-

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the

U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for

Luca Tr. Warle Date: June 11, 2003

Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# **Patent Application**

Applicant(s): Walid Ahmed et al.

Case:

3-39-39-3-13

Serial No.:

09/191,132

Filing Date:

November 13, 1998

Group:

2666

Examiner:

Shick C. Hom

Title:

Addressing Scheme for a Multimedia

Mobile Network

#### RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

RECEIVED

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 JUN 1 7 2003

Technology Center 2500

Sir:

In response to the final Office Action dated February 12, 2003, Applicants' present the following remarks for consideration:

### **REMARKS**

The present application was filed on November 13, 1998 with claims 1-20. In a previous Amendment dated November 19, 2002, Applicants amended claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 15 and 19, and canceled claims 2 and 7. In the outstanding final Office Action, the Examiner: (i) objected to claims 1, 5, 6 and 10 due to alleged informalities; (ii) rejected claims 1 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,371,897 to Brown et al. (hereinafter "Brown") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,632 to Lindgren et al. (hereinafter "Lindgren"); (iii) rejected claims 12, 13, 16 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,151,497 to Yee et al. (hereinafter "Yee"); (iv) rejected claims 14, 18 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of Yee in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,272,148 to Takagi et al. (hereinafter "Takagi"); (v) rejected claims 3, 4, 8, 9 and 11 under 35